



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/648,132

08/26/2003

Joerg Schiewe

01-1394

3249

28501

7590

12/31/2008

MICHAEL P. MORRIS

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION

900 RIDGEBURY ROAD

P. O. BOX 368

RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368

EXAMINER

DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3771

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/648,132 Examiner Annette F. Dixon	Applicant(s) SCHIEWE ET AL. Art Unit 3771
--	--	---	--

All Participants:

(1) Annette F. Dixon.

(2) Matthew Dernier.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Annette F Dixon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Dixon discussed with Attorney Dernier an inconsistency within the specification filed on April 4, 2007. As identified by Examiner Dixon, Page 5 of the specification has two listings for "Figure 7" in the brief description of the drawings. Attorney Dernier requested Examiner Dixon delete the second instance of Figure 7, which recites "Figure 7 schematically describes a version of the device." Attached is the correction for Page 5 of the specification. .